

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,098	04/14/2004	William F. Van Ostrand	60246-336;10884	6155
26096 75	590 06/16/2006	EXAMINER		
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD			GANDHI, JAYPRAKASH N	
SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2125		

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/824,098	VAN OSTRAND ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jayprakash N. Gandhi	2125	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•	
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ms. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims	·		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-21 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 6,7 and 10-20 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4, 8-9 and 21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		

Application/Control Number: 10/824,098 Page 2

Art Unit: 2125

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 8-9, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Baldwin et al. (Cited are in last action).

Baldwin discloses all the claimed elements of a HVAC system, including a controller 20, which detects failed component (sensors, 56/58/60/64) and removes the component without disrupting the operation of the HVAC system. It is to be noted that, in the broad term "inferring" can be conclude, judge or gather.

(12) FIG. 4 shows the overall concept of the present invention. Essentially, the controller 20 monitors the validity of selected inputs and configures the mode of operation of the HVAC system 10 in response to changes in status or state of those selected inputs. Typically, the invention would be included in steps 124 and 126 in the general operation of the system controller 20 shown in FIG. 3. Initially at step 136 of FIG. 4, the sensor validity of all sensors used by the system controller 20 in controlling the HVAC system 10 is checked. A sensor is valid if the sensor is providing an input signal, and the input signal is within a predetermined range. If at step 138 all the sensor inputs are determined to be valid, the system controller 20 attempts to upgrade its mode of operation at step 140 to the best available mode of operation. Thus if a sensor had previously failed and in the interim was repaired or came back within range, the system controller 20 would automatically recognize and upgrade the mode of operation without the necessity of manual intervention. On the other hand, if at step 138 an input was determined to be invalid, the system controller 20 at step 142 reconfigures the mode of operation to the best available mode of operation that does not require the failed sensor. Examples of this are described in the following alternative and more specific embodiments.

Application/Control Number: 10/824,098 Page 3

Art Unit: 2125

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed March 29, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that, Baldwin does not teach, "how the failed stage is identified". Line 3, of both the independent claims recites "inferring ..." The word infer implies a process of guessing, estimating, or surmising. In order to perform this function to obtain an accurate determination of the state of the system, it is necessary to obtain real world data to be analyzed. An accurate determination of a system failure mechanism cannot be made by casually observing the system in operation, and making a guess. There is at least one step missing which might involve collecting measurement data to enable one to perform a quantitative, objective analysis of the system.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 6, 7, and 10-20 have allowed.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/824,098 Page 4

Art Unit: 2125

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jayprakash N. Gandhi whose telephone number is 571-272-3740. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 4:30 (Mon. - Fri.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo P. Picard can be reached on 571-272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JNG

Jayprakash N Gandhi Primary Examiner Art Unit 2125